Preparing for Transformational Gifts: A Four-Principle Framework for Investment and Capital Stewardship for Nonprofits

Executive Summary

- Transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, but without discipline, it can introduce governance, financial, and strategic risks.
- A concise, investment-focused framework can help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly.
- Transformational gifts should be treated as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.
- This four-principle framework emphasizes governance readiness, capital discipline, investment and spending alignment, and long-term mission integrity.
- When applied together, these disciplines help convert generosity into durable mission outcomes.

Context: A Framework for Transformational Gifts

An unprecedented transfer of wealth is underway, accompanied by a growing prevalence of large, often unrestricted, gifts to nonprofit organizations. While transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, it also introduces governance, financial, and strategic risks if not managed with discipline.

This article offers an investment-focused framework to help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.

Principle 1. Governance Readiness Before Capital Arrives

Institutional and Fiduciary Preparedness

Sophisticated donors increasingly seek evidence that an organization is *ready for capital*, not merely in need of it. Readiness is demonstrated through clear strategic priorities, transparent financial reporting, and thoughtful articulation of how unrestricted funds would advance mission impact.

Equally important is governance capacity. Large gifts magnify existing strengths and weaknesses. From an investment perspective, they often require boards to oversee meaningfully larger and more complex balance sheets. Boards must be prepared to make higher-stakes fiduciary decisions related to risk, liquidity, and time horizon. Organizations anticipating significant gifts should ensure audit, finance, and investment oversight structures are clearly defined, active, and appropriately resourced.

Principle 2. Capital Discipline After the Gift

A Deliberate Strategic Pause

A common post-gift pitfall is moving too quickly into spending or expansion. The best practice is to impose a deliberate pause — often 90 to 180 days — before major commitments are made. For boards, this pause allows time to evaluate how new capital should function on the balance sheet before committing to investment or spending decisions. This period allows organizations to document donor intent, update policies, and conduct scenario and stress testing before capital deployment.

Capital Allocation Policy

Before determining how funds are invested or spent, boards should adopt a capital allocation policy that explicitly addresses:

1. **Permanence:** What portion of the gift is permanent versus time-limited?
2. **Time Horizon:** Over what period should the capital be deployed or preserved?
3. **Risk Tolerance:** What financial and operational risks are acceptable in pursuit of mission goals, and how do those risks interact with existing revenue variability and reserves?

Separating capital allocation decisions from annual budgeting helps preserve strategic clarity and long-term discipline and creates a clearer foundation for investment and spending policy design.

Principle 3. Investment and Spending

Considerations

Beyond the Traditional Endowment

Large gifts do not automatically imply permanent endowment. Many organizations benefit from hybrid structures such as board-designated endowments, time-limited capital pools, or multiple investment sleeves with differing objectives and liquidity needs. These structures allow organizations to align investment risk, liquidity, and spending expectations with the role each pool plays in supporting the mission.

The appropriate structure depends on mission volatility, revenue stability, and organizational capacity to govern increasingly complex investment programs.

Investment and Spending Policy Alignment

A larger asset base warrants a re-examination of the Investment Policy Statement, including risk tolerance tied to mission variability, liquidity needs, and governance procedures appropriate to scale. As assets grow, informal practices often need to be replaced with clearer delegation, monitoring, and decision-making frameworks.

Spending policies should likewise reflect the organization’s absorptive capacity rather than default market norms, with phased or dynamic spending approaches often better supporting sustainable impact and protecting long-term purchasing power.

Principle 4. Protecting Mission Integrity Over Time

Managing Financial, Cultural, and Reputational Risk

Transformational gifts can alter organizational culture, incentives, and public perception.

From a balance sheet perspective, boards should consider concentration risk, sensitivity to investment performance, and the long-term effects of relying heavily on a single pool of capital. Transparency should emphasize accountability and learning, rather than performative reporting.

Conclusion

Transformational philanthropy presents a rare opportunity to strengthen nonprofit impact and resilience. Organizations that pair generosity with disciplined governance, clear capital allocation and investment policy frameworks, and thoughtful investment strategy are best positioned to translate large gifts into enduring mission success.

How We Help

At TIFF, we partner with nonprofit boards and executive teams to prepare for, receive, and steward transformational gifts with confidence and discipline. We help organizations answer the most consequential questions these gifts raise:

- How should this capital function on the balance sheet?
- What level of investment risk and liquidity best supports the mission?
- How should spending and governance evolve as assets scale?

This work focuses on capital allocation strategy, investment and spending policy design, and governance readiness, helping organizations make well-governed decisions that honor donor intent while protecting long-term institutional integrity. TIFF's investment expertise and educational resources are designed to support nonprofits as their balance sheets — and fiduciary responsibilities — grow in complexity.

The materials are being provided for informational purposes only and constitute neither an offer to sell nor a solicitation of an offer to buy securities. These materials also do not constitute an offer or advertisement of TIFF's investment advisory services or investment, legal or tax advice. Opinions expressed herein are those of TIFF and are not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.

These materials may contain forward-looking statements relating to future events. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Although TIFF believes the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, future results cannot be guaranteed.

TIFF Investment Management



February 19, 2026

Copyright © 2026 TIFF Advisory Services, LLC. All rights reserved. May not be reproduced or distributed without permission.

Preparing for Transformational Gifts: A Four-Principle Framework for Investment and Capital Stewardship for Nonprofits

Executive Summary

- Transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, but without discipline, it can introduce governance, financial, and strategic risks.
- A concise, investment-focused framework can help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly.
- Transformational gifts should be treated as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.
- This four-principle framework emphasizes governance readiness, capital discipline, investment and spending alignment, and long-term mission integrity.
- When applied together, these disciplines help convert generosity into durable mission outcomes.

Context: A Framework for Transformational Gifts

An unprecedented transfer of wealth is underway, accompanied by a growing prevalence of large, often unrestricted, gifts to nonprofit organizations. While transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, it also introduces governance, financial, and strategic risks if not managed with discipline.

This article offers an investment-focused framework to help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.

Principle 1. Governance Readiness Before Capital

Arrives

Institutional and Fiduciary Preparedness

Sophisticated donors increasingly seek evidence that an organization is *ready for capital*, not merely in need of it. Readiness is demonstrated through clear strategic priorities, transparent financial reporting, and thoughtful articulation of how unrestricted funds would advance mission impact.

Equally important is governance capacity. Large gifts magnify existing strengths and weaknesses. From an investment perspective, they often require boards to oversee meaningfully larger and more complex balance sheets. Boards must be prepared to make higher-stakes fiduciary decisions related to risk, liquidity, and time horizon. Organizations anticipating significant gifts should ensure audit, finance, and investment oversight structures are clearly defined, active, and appropriately resourced.

Principle 2. Capital Discipline After the Gift

A Deliberate Strategic Pause

A common post-gift pitfall is moving too quickly into spending or expansion. The best practice is to impose a deliberate pause — often 90 to 180 days — before major commitments are made. For boards, this pause allows time to evaluate how new capital should function on the balance sheet before committing to investment or spending decisions. This period allows organizations to document donor intent, update policies, and conduct scenario and stress testing before capital deployment.

Capital Allocation Policy

Before determining how funds are invested or spent, boards should adopt a capital allocation policy that explicitly addresses:

1. **Permanence:** What portion of the gift is permanent versus time-limited?
2. **Time Horizon:** Over what period should the capital be deployed or preserved?
3. **Risk Tolerance:** What financial and operational risks are acceptable in pursuit of mission goals, and how do those risks interact with existing revenue variability and reserves?

Separating capital allocation decisions from annual budgeting helps preserve strategic clarity and long-term discipline and creates a clearer foundation for investment and spending policy design.

Principle 3. Investment and Spending Considerations

Beyond the Traditional Endowment

Large gifts do not automatically imply permanent endowment. Many organizations benefit from hybrid structures such as board-designated endowments, time-limited capital pools, or multiple investment sleeves with differing objectives and liquidity needs. These structures allow organizations to align investment risk, liquidity, and spending expectations with the role each pool plays in supporting the mission.

The appropriate structure depends on mission volatility, revenue stability, and organizational capacity to govern increasingly complex investment programs.

Investment and Spending Policy Alignment

A larger asset base warrants a re-examination of the Investment Policy Statement, including risk tolerance tied to mission variability, liquidity needs, and governance procedures appropriate to scale. As assets grow, informal practices often need to be replaced with clearer delegation, monitoring, and decision-making frameworks.

Spending policies should likewise reflect the organization’s absorptive capacity rather than default market norms, with phased or dynamic spending approaches often better supporting sustainable impact and protecting long-term purchasing power.

Principle 4. Protecting Mission Integrity Over Time

Managing Financial, Cultural, and Reputational Risk

Transformational gifts can alter organizational culture, incentives, and public perception.

From a balance sheet perspective, boards should consider concentration risk, sensitivity to investment performance, and the long-term effects of relying heavily on a single pool of capital. Transparency should emphasize accountability and learning, rather than performative reporting.

Conclusion

Transformational philanthropy presents a rare opportunity to strengthen nonprofit impact and resilience. Organizations that pair generosity with disciplined governance, clear capital

allocation and investment policy frameworks, and thoughtful investment strategy are best positioned to translate large gifts into enduring mission success.

How We Help

At TIFF, we partner with nonprofit boards and executive teams to prepare for, receive, and steward transformational gifts with confidence and discipline. We help organizations answer the most consequential questions these gifts raise:

- How should this capital function on the balance sheet?
- What level of investment risk and liquidity best supports the mission?
- How should spending and governance evolve as assets scale?

This work focuses on capital allocation strategy, investment and spending policy design, and governance readiness, helping organizations make well-governed decisions that honor donor intent while protecting long-term institutional integrity. TIFF's investment expertise and educational resources are designed to support nonprofits as their balance sheets — and fiduciary responsibilities — grow in complexity.

The materials are being provided for informational purposes only and constitute neither an offer to sell nor a solicitation of an offer to buy securities. These materials also do not constitute an offer or advertisement of TIFF's investment advisory services or investment, legal or tax advice. Opinions expressed herein are those of TIFF and are not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.

These materials may contain forward-looking statements relating to future events. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Although TIFF believes the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, future results cannot be guaranteed.

TIFF Investment Management

TIFF 35TH
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
35TH ANNIVERSARY

February 19, 2026

Copyright © 2026 TIFF Advisory Services, LLC. All rights reserved. May not be reproduced or

distributed without permission.

Preparing for Transformational Gifts: A Four-Principle Framework for Investment and Capital Stewardship for Nonprofits

Executive Summary

- Transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, but without discipline, it can introduce governance, financial, and strategic risks.
- A concise, investment-focused framework can help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly.
- Transformational gifts should be treated as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.
- This four-principle framework emphasizes governance readiness, capital discipline, investment and spending alignment, and long-term mission integrity.
- When applied together, these disciplines help convert generosity into durable mission outcomes.

Context: A Framework for Transformational Gifts

An unprecedented transfer of wealth is underway, accompanied by a growing prevalence of large, often unrestricted, gifts to nonprofit organizations. While transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, it also introduces governance, financial, and strategic risks if not managed with discipline.

This article offers an investment-focused framework to help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.

Principle 1. Governance Readiness Before Capital Arrives

Institutional and Fiduciary Preparedness

Sophisticated donors increasingly seek evidence that an organization is *ready for capital*, not merely in need of it. Readiness is demonstrated through clear strategic priorities, transparent financial reporting, and thoughtful articulation of how unrestricted funds would advance mission impact.

Equally important is governance capacity. Large gifts magnify existing strengths and weaknesses. From an investment perspective, they often require boards to oversee meaningfully larger and more complex balance sheets. Boards must be prepared to make higher-stakes fiduciary decisions related to risk, liquidity, and time horizon. Organizations anticipating significant gifts should ensure audit, finance, and investment oversight structures are clearly defined, active, and appropriately resourced.

Principle 2. Capital Discipline After the Gift

A Deliberate Strategic Pause

A common post-gift pitfall is moving too quickly into spending or expansion. The best practice is to impose a deliberate pause — often 90 to 180 days — before major commitments are made. For boards, this pause allows time to evaluate how new capital should function on the balance sheet before committing to investment or spending decisions. This period allows organizations to document donor intent, update policies, and conduct scenario and stress testing before capital deployment.

Capital Allocation Policy

Before determining how funds are invested or spent, boards should adopt a capital allocation policy that explicitly addresses:

1. **Permanence:** What portion of the gift is permanent versus time-limited?
2. **Time Horizon:** Over what period should the capital be deployed or preserved?
3. **Risk Tolerance:** What financial and operational risks are acceptable in pursuit of mission goals, and how do those risks interact with existing revenue variability and reserves?

Separating capital allocation decisions from annual budgeting helps preserve strategic clarity and long-term discipline and creates a clearer foundation for investment and spending policy design.

Principle 3. Investment and Spending Considerations

Beyond the Traditional Endowment

Large gifts do not automatically imply permanent endowment. Many organizations benefit from hybrid structures such as board-designated endowments, time-limited capital pools, or multiple investment sleeves with differing objectives and liquidity needs. These structures allow organizations to align investment risk, liquidity, and spending expectations with the role each pool plays in supporting the mission.

The appropriate structure depends on mission volatility, revenue stability, and organizational capacity to govern increasingly complex investment programs.

Investment and Spending Policy Alignment

A larger asset base warrants a re-examination of the Investment Policy Statement, including risk tolerance tied to mission variability, liquidity needs, and governance procedures appropriate to scale. As assets grow, informal practices often need to be replaced with clearer delegation, monitoring, and decision-making frameworks.

Spending policies should likewise reflect the organization’s absorptive capacity rather than default market norms, with phased or dynamic spending approaches often better supporting sustainable impact and protecting long-term purchasing power.

Principle 4. Protecting Mission Integrity Over Time

Managing Financial, Cultural, and Reputational Risk

Transformational gifts can alter organizational culture, incentives, and public perception.

From a balance sheet perspective, boards should consider concentration risk, sensitivity to investment performance, and the long-term effects of relying heavily on a single pool of capital. Transparency should emphasize accountability and learning, rather than performative reporting.

Conclusion

Transformational philanthropy presents a rare opportunity to strengthen nonprofit impact and resilience. Organizations that pair generosity with disciplined governance, clear capital

allocation and investment policy frameworks, and thoughtful investment strategy are best positioned to translate large gifts into enduring mission success.

How We Help

At TIFF, we partner with nonprofit boards and executive teams to prepare for, receive, and steward transformational gifts with confidence and discipline. We help organizations answer the most consequential questions these gifts raise:

- How should this capital function on the balance sheet?
- What level of investment risk and liquidity best supports the mission?
- How should spending and governance evolve as assets scale?

This work focuses on capital allocation strategy, investment and spending policy design, and governance readiness, helping organizations make well-governed decisions that honor donor intent while protecting long-term institutional integrity. TIFF's investment expertise and educational resources are designed to support nonprofits as their balance sheets — and fiduciary responsibilities — grow in complexity.

The materials are being provided for informational purposes only and constitute neither an offer to sell nor a solicitation of an offer to buy securities. These materials also do not constitute an offer or advertisement of TIFF's investment advisory services or investment, legal or tax advice. Opinions expressed herein are those of TIFF and are not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.

These materials may contain forward-looking statements relating to future events. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Although TIFF believes the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, future results cannot be guaranteed.

TIFF Investment Management

TIFF 35TH
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
35TH ANNIVERSARY

February 19, 2026

Copyright © 2026 TIFF Advisory Services, LLC. All rights reserved. May not be reproduced or

distributed without permission.

Preparing for Transformational Gifts: A Four-Principle Framework for Investment and Capital Stewardship for Nonprofits

Executive Summary

- Transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, but without discipline, it can introduce governance, financial, and strategic risks.
- A concise, investment-focused framework can help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly.
- Transformational gifts should be treated as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.
- This four-principle framework emphasizes governance readiness, capital discipline, investment and spending alignment, and long-term mission integrity.
- When applied together, these disciplines help convert generosity into durable mission outcomes.

Context: A Framework for Transformational Gifts

An unprecedented transfer of wealth is underway, accompanied by a growing prevalence of large, often unrestricted, gifts to nonprofit organizations. While transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, it also introduces governance, financial, and strategic risks if not managed with discipline.

This article offers an investment-focused framework to help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.

Principle 1. Governance Readiness Before Capital Arrives

Institutional and Fiduciary Preparedness

Sophisticated donors increasingly seek evidence that an organization is *ready for capital*, not merely in need of it. Readiness is demonstrated through clear strategic priorities, transparent financial reporting, and thoughtful articulation of how unrestricted funds would advance mission impact.

Equally important is governance capacity. Large gifts magnify existing strengths and weaknesses. From an investment perspective, they often require boards to oversee meaningfully larger and more complex balance sheets. Boards must be prepared to make higher-stakes fiduciary decisions related to risk, liquidity, and time horizon. Organizations anticipating significant gifts should ensure audit, finance, and investment oversight structures are clearly defined, active, and appropriately resourced.

Principle 2. Capital Discipline After the Gift

A Deliberate Strategic Pause

A common post-gift pitfall is moving too quickly into spending or expansion. The best practice is to impose a deliberate pause — often 90 to 180 days — before major commitments are made. For boards, this pause allows time to evaluate how new capital should function on the balance sheet before committing to investment or spending decisions. This period allows organizations to document donor intent, update policies, and conduct scenario and stress testing before capital deployment.

Capital Allocation Policy

Before determining how funds are invested or spent, boards should adopt a capital allocation policy that explicitly addresses:

1. **Permanence:** What portion of the gift is permanent versus time-limited?
2. **Time Horizon:** Over what period should the capital be deployed or preserved?
3. **Risk Tolerance:** What financial and operational risks are acceptable in pursuit of mission goals, and how do those risks interact with existing revenue variability and reserves?

Separating capital allocation decisions from annual budgeting helps preserve strategic clarity and long-term discipline and creates a clearer foundation for investment and spending policy design.

Principle 3. Investment and Spending Considerations

Beyond the Traditional Endowment

Large gifts do not automatically imply permanent endowment. Many organizations benefit from hybrid structures such as board-designated endowments, time-limited capital pools, or multiple investment sleeves with differing objectives and liquidity needs. These structures allow organizations to align investment risk, liquidity, and spending expectations with the role each pool plays in supporting the mission.

The appropriate structure depends on mission volatility, revenue stability, and organizational capacity to govern increasingly complex investment programs.

Investment and Spending Policy Alignment

A larger asset base warrants a re-examination of the Investment Policy Statement, including risk tolerance tied to mission variability, liquidity needs, and governance procedures appropriate to scale. As assets grow, informal practices often need to be replaced with clearer delegation, monitoring, and decision-making frameworks.

Spending policies should likewise reflect the organization’s absorptive capacity rather than default market norms, with phased or dynamic spending approaches often better supporting sustainable impact and protecting long-term purchasing power.

Principle 4. Protecting Mission Integrity Over Time

Managing Financial, Cultural, and Reputational Risk

Transformational gifts can alter organizational culture, incentives, and public perception.

From a balance sheet perspective, boards should consider concentration risk, sensitivity to investment performance, and the long-term effects of relying heavily on a single pool of capital. Transparency should emphasize accountability and learning, rather than performative reporting.

Conclusion

Transformational philanthropy presents a rare opportunity to strengthen nonprofit impact and resilience. Organizations that pair generosity with disciplined governance, clear capital

allocation and investment policy frameworks, and thoughtful investment strategy are best positioned to translate large gifts into enduring mission success.

How We Help

At TIFF, we partner with nonprofit boards and executive teams to prepare for, receive, and steward transformational gifts with confidence and discipline. We help organizations answer the most consequential questions these gifts raise:

- How should this capital function on the balance sheet?
- What level of investment risk and liquidity best supports the mission?
- How should spending and governance evolve as assets scale?

This work focuses on capital allocation strategy, investment and spending policy design, and governance readiness, helping organizations make well-governed decisions that honor donor intent while protecting long-term institutional integrity. TIFF's investment expertise and educational resources are designed to support nonprofits as their balance sheets — and fiduciary responsibilities — grow in complexity.

The materials are being provided for informational purposes only and constitute neither an offer to sell nor a solicitation of an offer to buy securities. These materials also do not constitute an offer or advertisement of TIFF's investment advisory services or investment, legal or tax advice. Opinions expressed herein are those of TIFF and are not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.

These materials may contain forward-looking statements relating to future events. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Although TIFF believes the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, future results cannot be guaranteed.

TIFF Investment Management

TIFF 35TH
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
35TH ANNIVERSARY

February 19, 2026

Copyright © 2026 TIFF Advisory Services, LLC. All rights reserved. May not be reproduced or

distributed without permission.

Preparing for Transformational Gifts: A Four-Principle Framework for Investment and Capital Stewardship for Nonprofits

Executive Summary

- Transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, but without discipline, it can introduce governance, financial, and strategic risks.
- A concise, investment-focused framework can help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly.
- Transformational gifts should be treated as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.
- This four-principle framework emphasizes governance readiness, capital discipline, investment and spending alignment, and long-term mission integrity.
- When applied together, these disciplines help convert generosity into durable mission outcomes.

Context: A Framework for Transformational Gifts

An unprecedented transfer of wealth is underway, accompanied by a growing prevalence of large, often unrestricted, gifts to nonprofit organizations. While transformational capital can significantly accelerate mission impact, it also introduces governance, financial, and strategic risks if not managed with discipline.

This article offers an investment-focused framework to help nonprofit boards and staff prepare for large gifts and steward them responsibly as balance sheet events, not just programmatic opportunities.

Principle 1. Governance Readiness Before Capital Arrives

Institutional and Fiduciary Preparedness

Sophisticated donors increasingly seek evidence that an organization is *ready for capital*, not merely in need of it. Readiness is demonstrated through clear strategic priorities, transparent financial reporting, and thoughtful articulation of how unrestricted funds would advance mission impact.

Equally important is governance capacity. Large gifts magnify existing strengths and weaknesses. From an investment perspective, they often require boards to oversee meaningfully larger and more complex balance sheets. Boards must be prepared to make higher-stakes fiduciary decisions related to risk, liquidity, and time horizon. Organizations anticipating significant gifts should ensure audit, finance, and investment oversight structures are clearly defined, active, and appropriately resourced.

Principle 2. Capital Discipline After the Gift

A Deliberate Strategic Pause

A common post-gift pitfall is moving too quickly into spending or expansion. The best practice is to impose a deliberate pause — often 90 to 180 days — before major commitments are made. For boards, this pause allows time to evaluate how new capital should function on the balance sheet before committing to investment or spending decisions. This period allows organizations to document donor intent, update policies, and conduct scenario and stress testing before capital deployment.

Capital Allocation Policy

Before determining how funds are invested or spent, boards should adopt a capital allocation policy that explicitly addresses:

1. **Permanence:** What portion of the gift is permanent versus time-limited?
2. **Time Horizon:** Over what period should the capital be deployed or preserved?
3. **Risk Tolerance:** What financial and operational risks are acceptable in pursuit of mission goals, and how do those risks interact with existing revenue variability and reserves?

Separating capital allocation decisions from annual budgeting helps preserve strategic clarity and long-term discipline and creates a clearer foundation for investment and spending policy design.

Principle 3. Investment and Spending Considerations

Beyond the Traditional Endowment

Large gifts do not automatically imply permanent endowment. Many organizations benefit from hybrid structures such as board-designated endowments, time-limited capital pools, or multiple investment sleeves with differing objectives and liquidity needs. These structures allow organizations to align investment risk, liquidity, and spending expectations with the role each pool plays in supporting the mission.

The appropriate structure depends on mission volatility, revenue stability, and organizational capacity to govern increasingly complex investment programs.

Investment and Spending Policy Alignment

A larger asset base warrants a re-examination of the Investment Policy Statement, including risk tolerance tied to mission variability, liquidity needs, and governance procedures appropriate to scale. As assets grow, informal practices often need to be replaced with clearer delegation, monitoring, and decision-making frameworks.

Spending policies should likewise reflect the organization’s absorptive capacity rather than default market norms, with phased or dynamic spending approaches often better supporting sustainable impact and protecting long-term purchasing power.

Principle 4. Protecting Mission Integrity Over Time

Managing Financial, Cultural, and Reputational Risk

Transformational gifts can alter organizational culture, incentives, and public perception.

From a balance sheet perspective, boards should consider concentration risk, sensitivity to investment performance, and the long-term effects of relying heavily on a single pool of capital. Transparency should emphasize accountability and learning, rather than performative reporting.

Conclusion

Transformational philanthropy presents a rare opportunity to strengthen nonprofit impact and resilience. Organizations that pair generosity with disciplined governance, clear capital

allocation and investment policy frameworks, and thoughtful investment strategy are best positioned to translate large gifts into enduring mission success.

How We Help

At TIFF, we partner with nonprofit boards and executive teams to prepare for, receive, and steward transformational gifts with confidence and discipline. We help organizations answer the most consequential questions these gifts raise:

- How should this capital function on the balance sheet?
- What level of investment risk and liquidity best supports the mission?
- How should spending and governance evolve as assets scale?

This work focuses on capital allocation strategy, investment and spending policy design, and governance readiness, helping organizations make well-governed decisions that honor donor intent while protecting long-term institutional integrity. TIFF's investment expertise and educational resources are designed to support nonprofits as their balance sheets — and fiduciary responsibilities — grow in complexity.

The materials are being provided for informational purposes only and constitute neither an offer to sell nor a solicitation of an offer to buy securities. These materials also do not constitute an offer or advertisement of TIFF's investment advisory services or investment, legal or tax advice. Opinions expressed herein are those of TIFF and are not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.

These materials may contain forward-looking statements relating to future events. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Although TIFF believes the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, future results cannot be guaranteed.

TIFF Investment Management

TIFF 35TH
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
35TH ANNIVERSARY

February 19, 2026

Copyright © 2026 TIFF Advisory Services, LLC. All rights reserved. May not be reproduced or

distributed without permission.